



# CLINICAL CANCER GENOMICS COMMUNITY OF PRACTICE

CITY OF HOPE DIVISION OF CLINICAL CANCER GENOMICS

This recording is provided for review purposes only and viewing *does not* substitute attendance.

Due to HIPAA, please **DO NOT** attempt to distribute this document or the corresponding recording.

## \*CHAT LOG TIMECODES MAY NOT ALIGN WITH RECORDING\*

00:19:09 Allison Jay: is there a tentative agenda for may 5-7 meeting?  
00:19:49 Clinical Cancer Genetics: CME code for this session: YUKHUX  
00:20:27 Erica Kessler: Can someone please post the CE code?  
00:20:32 Clinical Cancer Genetics: CME code for this session: YUKHUX  
00:31:52 Robbin Palmer: Great catch!  
00:32:23 Alex Capasso: telse@med.umich.edu  
00:35:41 Jeana Handley, NP: can you please share mtg code  
00:36:12 Clinical Cancer Genetics: CME code for this session: YUKHUX  
00:36:22 Sarah Dumke, ARNP EvergreenHealth: were those management recommendations annual -- jaw xray, usn ?  
00:36:27 Kathleen Mott: I wonder if Medicare will cover the cost of the recommended screenings.....  
00:36:57 Jen Diaz: She should be followed by endocrinologist  
00:38:12 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): The patient had a panel and didn't have cancer. I would imagine we'd want to order broader testing to others with the same family history  
00:38:19 Lauren Gima (she/her): You get a panel! You get a panel! Panels for everyone!  
00:38:40 Lauren Gima (she/her): In our practice we have a pretty low threshold for offering comprehensive panels :)  
00:38:42 Catherine Marcum: age may be a determination in the proband's screening; however, for all of the younger family members testing and establishing care plan is paramount  
00:38:42 Sarah Dumke, ARNP EvergreenHealth: cant hear her?  
00:40:54 Cynthia Hellman-Wylie: Panel testing is great, but not always feasible in a safety net institution in terms of getting approval from insurers in a population that can't pay out of pocket.  
00:41:23 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): We hear you great!  
00:41:35 Mary Baillargeon: happy to be here; grateful Denise has introduced me to this wonderful community  
00:48:19 Catherine Marcum: I thought the APC variant was identified?  
00:48:34 Constance Murphy: it was on the somatic report  
00:49:02 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): He doesn't have personal/family history to be suspicious of missed LFS based on phenotype.  
00:51:02 Catherine Marcum: I believe was VUS on somatic which is not an uncommon finding - the discrepancy  
00:52:41 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): Interestingly the VAF is at 27.5%  
00:53:00 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): Can't assume germline or not based on a VAF!  
00:54:04 Delori Dulany: Code for today please  
00:54:09 Clinical Cancer Genetics: CME code for this session: YUKHUX  
00:57:35 Nancy Posner: small family, few females  
00:59:00 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): It's VUS consistently in ClinVar

00:59:29 Robbin Palmer: Limited experience here. Is it correct that if a tumor does not have a variant that is reported as P/LP in germline testing, one can't assume that the variant is not constitutional (thinking of TP53 variant)?

00:59:53 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): Also the VUS could very well be coming up from the other side of the family. Mom may want to consider her own testing

01:00:08 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): \*panel that is. Beyond the I1307K variant

01:01:05 Kathleen Mott: doesn't look like all the melanoma genes were on the panel

01:01:37 Catherine Marcum: What was his exposure history? Occupation

01:02:36 Sarah Dumke, ARNP EvergreenHealth: MITF? RB1? POT1? those are on Invitae Melanoma panel

01:02:51 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): Correct Robbin. A tumor can "lose" a germline variant. Additionally, could have differing classifications and be found on the tumor and not reported out. And some tumor tests do not do full analysis and therefore may not actually analyze the variant.


01:02:52 Catherine Marcum: That may be a discussion with the patient about further evaluation.

01:03:32 Mary McCarthy: agree

01:03:33 Sarah Dumke, ARNP EvergreenHealth: thank you.

01:04:01 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): I think it's worthwhile to consider additional testing. And explain the possible low yield but see what his desires are knowing there could be a few other genes to test for

01:04:37 Sarah Dumke, ARNP EvergreenHealth: how long ago was testing? could they be added on?

01:04:38 COH-Christina Rybak: intrepid  perfectly put

01:04:56 Kathleen Mott: don't recall if Ambry will reflex to a larger panel

01:05:35 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): They will reflex within a certain timepoint (last I checked)

01:07:03 Constance Murphy: great job Mary!

01:07:47 COH - Suzie Shehayeb (she/her): Great workup Mary!

01:07:52 Clinical Cancer Genetics: CME code for this session: YUKHUX

01:07:59 COH-Christina Rybak: Thank you Mary that was great!

01:08:04 Catherine Marcum: Great Job!!

01:08:21 Heather Hampel (she,her): Have a nice week everyone!